



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,364	02/12/2002	Brian N. Tufte	1076.1101104	9076

28075 7590 09/16/2003

CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC  
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE  
SUITE 800  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420

EXAMINER
----------

NEGRON, ISMAEL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2875

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Applicant(s)

10/074,364

Applicant(s)

TUFTE, BRIAN N.

Examiner

Ismael Negron

Art Unit

2875

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 July 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 June 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6. 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Title*

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: **Elongated Illumination Device with Support Bracket.**

### *Abstract*

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes phrases which can be implied. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-8 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagano (U.S. Pat. 5,430,627).

Nagano discloses an illumination device having:

- **an elongated light source**, Figure 9, reference number 61;
- **an elongated member**, Figure 7, reference number 111;
- **the elongated member having a cavity**, Figure 7, reference number 129;
- **the cavity being for receiving the elongated light source**, column 3, lines 56 and 57;
- **the cavity being at least partially defined by a first material that is at least partially transparent**, Figure 7, reference number 143;
- **the first material extending to the outer surface of the elongated member**, Figure 7;

Art Unit: 2875

- **the elongated member including a second material that is substantially non-transparent, Figure 7;**
- **a stair, inherent;**
- **the stair including a substantially horizontal surface terminating in a ledge, inherent;**
- **the stair also including a downwardly extending surface, inherent;**
- **the elongated member extending at least over part of the horizontal surface of the stair, Figure 7, reference number 113;**
- **the elongated member also extending at least over part of the downwardly extending surface of the stair, Figure 7, reference number 115;**
- **the cavity being positioned adjacent the ledge, Figure 7, reference number 129;**
- **the cavity being positioned adjacent the substantially horizontal surface, Figure 7, reference number 129;**
- **the first material extending over two or more surfaces of the elongated member, Figure 7, reference numbers 143 and 145;**  
and
- **a portion of the second material separating the two or more surfaces having the first material, Figure 7, reference number 131.**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 9, 10, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagano (U.S. Pat. 5,430,627).

Nagano discloses an illumination device having:

- **an elongated light source**, Figure 9, reference number 61;
- **an elongated member**, Figure 7, reference number 111;
- **the elongated member having a cavity**, Figure 7, reference number 129;
- **the cavity being for receiving the elongated light source**, column 3, lines 56 and 57;
- **the cavity being at least partially defined by a first material that is at least partially transparent**, Figure 7, reference number 143;
- **the first material extending to the outer surface of the elongated member**, Figure 7.

Nagano discloses all the limitations of the claims, except the elongated light source being an electro luminescent wire (ELEW) or a linear emitting fiber (LEF).

Art Unit: 2875

The examiner takes Official Notice that the use of ELEW and LEF is old and well known in the illumination art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute an ELEW or LEF for the elongated light source in the system of Nagano. One would have been motivated since ELEW and LEF are recognized in the illumination art to have many desirable advantages, including reduced size, high efficiency, low power consumption, long life, resistance to vibrations, and low heat production, over other light sources.

***Relevant Prior Art***

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**Lewis et al.** (U.S. Pat. 3,885,144), **Winter** (U.S. Pat. 4,625,266) and **Brookman** (U.S. Pat. 5,337,225) disclose elongated illumination devices featuring most of the limitations of the claimed invention.

**Crumley** (U.S. Pat. 6,065,852) and **Hoeffling et al.** (U.S. Pat. 6,129,442) disclose elongated illumination devices featuring light source including linear emitting fiber (LEF).

**Voskoboinik et al.** (U.S. Pat. 5,485,355), **Chien** (U.S. Pat. 6,082,867) and **Davie** (U.S. Pat. 6,526,200) disclose illumination devices including electro luminescent wire (ELEW) light sources.

Art Unit: 2875

**Conclusion**


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ismael Negrón whose telephone number is (703) 308-6086. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra L. O'Shea, can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The facsimile machine number for the Art Group is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Inr

September 7, 2003



ALAN CARIASO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER